

This section of the Plan lists general recommendations, in the form of policies and strategies, for each of the elements of the plan. These recommendations are intended to address the issues raised in the review and analysis of the elements in Section II, *Community Assessment*. The matrix also shows a suggested implementation timing and responsible party.

For the purpose of this chapter, the implementation priority is divided into short term, mid-term, long term, and ongoing:

- “Short term” is presumed to be activities which can be completed within two years. These are primarily changes to Zoning and other ordinances, and easily achievable actions.
- “Mid-term” activities will be commenced and/or completed between two and five years after adoption. These consist of lower-priority activities or those which require additional planning or preparation.
- “Long term” activities are those which are more nebulous, and for which the path to implementation has not yet come into focus.
- “Ongoing” is used to identify strategies which are currently in place and should continue.

Policies:	Strategies:	Implementing Party/Timeframe:
<p><b>HISTORIC RESOURCES:</b></p> <p>Brighton Plantation does not have an active Historic Society. The town's historic sites are in varying states of repair, with some in need of restoration. A comprehensive survey of these sites could help assess their condition and guide preservation efforts. Additionally, there are no requirements beyond the state's standard site assessment for historic sites.</p> <p><b>State Goal: To preserve the State's historic and archeological resources.</b></p>		
Policies:	Strategies:	Implementing Party/Timeframe:
<p>1. Protect to the greatest extent practicable the significant historic and archaeological resources in the community.</p>	<p>1.1: For known historic archeological sites and areas sensitive to prehistoric archeology, through local land use ordinances require subdivision or non-residential developers to take appropriate measures to protect those resources, including but not limited to, modification of the proposed site design, construction timing, and/or extent of excavation.</p> <p>1.2: Adopt or amend land use ordinances to require the planning board (or other designated review authority) to incorporate maps and information provided by the Maine Historic Preservation Commission into their review process.</p> <p>1.3: Work with the local or county historical society and/or the Maine Historic Preservation Commission to assess the need for, and if necessary, plan for, a comprehensive community survey of the community's historic and archaeological resources.</p>	
<p>2. Continue efforts to preserve Brighton's history.</p>	<p>2.5: Require new developments in sensitive areas to perform an analysis of possible archeological impacts prior to construction.</p>	

Policies:	Strategies:	Implementing Party/Timeframe:
	<p>2.6: Seek funding sources, such as grants aimed at historical preservation.</p> <p>2.9: Conduct a comprehensive inventory of historical buildings in Brighton for potential identification and inclusion on state or federal historic listings.</p>	
<p>4. Consider the adoption of a Historic Preservation Ordinance or land use standards to protect historically significant properties and archaeological areas.</p>	<p>4.1: Investigate the possibility of adding a provision to an existing ordinance or creating a stand-alone ordinance that would enhance protection of potential historic and archeological resources.</p>	

Green- new suggests

Blue- suggestions from meeting

Red- from old plan

**Goals, Policies, and Strategies from previous Plan:**

These are to be discussed to determine if they were accomplished. If not, are they still relevant today?